<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1-44 are in this application and are presented for consideration. By this amendment, Applicant has amended claims 1 and 23. Applicant has canceled claims 2 and 24. The features of claims 2 and 24 have been placed into claims 1 and 23 respectively. It is Applicant's position that no new issues are presented.

Claim 1 has been rejected as obvious in view of Watanabe et al. (US 6,763,284) in view of Huissoon (US 6,044,308) and Roos (6,615,112).

Claim 1 relates to a method for fading computer-generated information into an image of the real environment detected by an image receiving unit located on a viewing device. The method comprises the steps of providing the viewing device with the image receiving unit. The image of the real environment is then detected by the image receiving unit. Computer-generated information is then faded into the image. The position and orientation or pose of the image receiving unit is determined and the robot-specific information corresponding to this determination is faded over the image of the real environment on the viewing device. At least one robot-specific coordinate system is faded into the image. The present invention permits greater flexibility of the automation of operating sequences by means of robots because reprogramming is facilitated. The present invention makes putting into operation, programming and operating of robots more simple and efficient. The manipulatability of the reference coordinate systems is advantageously cost efficient since it makes it unnecessary for the user to learn and carry out complicated measurement methods. The prior art fails to provide such features or advantages.

Applicant would like to note that fading in has a meaning to one of ordinary skill in the art. This term is a term of art commonly used in the computer and video arts. A person of ordinary skill in the art would understand the use of such a term. As such, Applicant is not required to give a special meaning to the term "fading in". In the absence of a specific definition of a term, the ordinary meaning should be used.

Watanabe et al. discloses a robot teaching apparatus. Mounted at an arm distal end of a robot 5 are a camera 2 and a projector 1. The projector 1 projects a pattern of light. A robot controller 6 houses an image processing device therein and it is connected to the robot 5, the projector 1, the image pickup device 2, a display device 3 and a teaching operation panel (not shown). The robot 5 is moved with a manual operation to a position and an attitude at which it is properly spaced from a reference work 7 and it looks over the entire working space. An image including the reference work 7 in a field of view is picked up by the camera and the image is displayed on the image display device 3. A 2-dimensional image position pointing device 4 utilizing a mouse is provided in the image display device 3 and an operator points to a position (u0, v0) to be measured by the robot 5 on the image using the pointing device 4. The pointed position (u0, v0) is stored in the robot controller 6. The pointing device 4 is moved along a plurality of points to constitute a working line on an image. After the measurement starting point is on the working line, a view line corresponding to the position (u0, v0) is obtained using the position (u0, v0) on the 2-dimensional image and the position and orientation of the image pickup device 2. A slit light is projected from the projector 1 onto the reference work 7 and measurement of a 3-dimensional position on the reference work 7 is started. An

11

image, including an image of the bright line formed on the reference work 7, is photographed by the camber 2. The robot is moved along a working path a small distance and light projecting and photographing are performed for each small distance so that 3-dimensional position points at which the bright line crosses the working line are sequentially obtained. The detection results are verified by superimposing the working line on to the image of the camera 2 on the screen of the image display device 3.

Huissoon discloses a method and device for robot tool frame calibration. A robot 30 comprises a base 32 and a manipulator 34. The manipulator 34 is provided with several linkages 36 connected to joints 38 with an end effector 40. A tool having a tool center point (TCP) is attached to end-point 40 of robot 30 and is robotically controlled by the robot. The pose of coordinate frame E of the robot end-point 40 with respect to a global coordinate reference frame G of robot 30 is defined by a forward kinematic model of the robot so that given the angels of joints 38 and the lengths of links 36 and the robot geometry, the pose of frame E can be computed with respect to frame G. A reference fixture 44 is mounted on a calibration jig 60 comprising a support table 62 and one or more active area sensors 64 are attached to the support in known positions with respect to the reference fixture 44. The sensors 64 are located below the viewing window 66 located in table 62. A laser grid generator 63 illuminates the edges of a gas cup and the tip of a contact tube so that the analysis area sensor 64 image data can establish the location of TCP 42 with respect to reference fixture 44. The TCP is the focal point of the welding laser and its position is determined by analyzing the image of the focusing laser spot on a projection screen 66. Since the TCP sensor is in a known

position with respect to the structured light sensor calibration features, the TCP position with respect to the sensor can be computed.

Roos discloses a method and device for multistage calibration of multiple-axis measuring robots 6 and associated optical measuring devices 10 in a measuring station 1 for a workpiece 2. Calibration occurs in a measuring cascade comprising three calibrating steps. The optical measuring device 10 and the operating point 28 thereof, the manipulator 6 and the axes thereof and the allocation of the manipulator 6 with respect to the workpiece 2 are successively calibrated.

Watanabe et al. fails to teach or disclose fading in coordinate systems into an image of the real environment. At most, Watanabe et al. teaches measuring the working line of a component by measuring sensors and automatically teaching the path thus measured. The path of Watanabe et al. is superimposed onto the image of the workpiece and the user is asked to set the points of the path manually to verify the detection results. The present invention takes a different approach. The present invention provides a method for displaying abstract information that is not visible to the user so that the user has a visual representation of the robot-specific conditions actually occurring. The present invention fades in a system of coordinates over a real environment image. In contrast, the Watanabe disclosure suggests a system of coordinates that are transformed into another system of coordinates. Watanabe et al. merely teaches using calculations to transform one system of coordinates to another system of coordinates to compare the actual working line path with the set working line path. In the present invention, the coordinate system is faded into the image so that the user has a visual

13

picture of the robot-specific conditions occurring. As such, the prior art teaches a different approach and does not suggest the features of the present invention.

The Huissoon reference fails to teach or suggest the combination of fading computer-generated information into a real environment image. At most, Huissoon teaches a laser grid generator 63 that illuminates the edges of a gas cup and the tip of a contact tube so that the analysis area sensor 64 image data can establish the location of the tool center point 42 with respect to reference fixture 44. The present invention takes a different approach. The present invention determines the position of the image receiving unit. The robot-specific information that results from that determination is faded onto the real environment image. In contrast, Huissoon merely provides for a kinematic model to determine the pose of frame E with respect to frame G. In fact, Huissoon fails all together to disclose a viewing device with the image receiving unit. As such, Huissoon fails to provide any motivation for the features found in the present invention.

Similarly, Roos fails to provide any motivation for fading computer-generated information into a real environment image. At most Roos discloses successively calibrating the optical measuring device 10 and the operating point 28 thereof, the manipulator 6 and the axes thereof and the allocation of the manipulator 6 with respect to the workpiece 2. Roos fails to mention anything about providing a viewing device with an image receiving unit. In contrast, the present invention has a viewing device with an image receiving unit. The position of the image receiving unit is determined and the robot-specific information provided by this determination is faded into the real environment image. This is a completely different approach

14

compared to Roos. In fact, Roos fails to suggest providing any type of real environment image. As such, Roos suggests a different approach and fails to teach the features of the present invention. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that claim 1 be favorably considered as now amended and all claims that depend thereon.

Claims 23-27, 39 and 41-44 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Watanabe et al.

Watanabe et al. fails to teach or disclose fading in coordinate systems into an image of the real environment. At most, Watanabe et al. teaches measuring the working line of a component by measuring sensors and automatically teaching the path thus measured. The path of Watanabe et al. is superimposed onto the image of the workpiece and the user is asked to set the points of the path manually to verify the detection results. The present invention takes a different approach. The present provides a method for displaying abstract information that is not visible to the user so that the user has a visual representation of the robot-specific conditions actually occurring. The present invention fades in a system of coordinates over a real environment image. In contrast, the Watanabe disclosure suggests a system of coordinates that are transformed into another system of coordinates. Watanabe et al. merely teaches using calculations to transform one system of coordinates to another system of coordinates. In the present invention, the coordinate system is faded into the image so that the user has a visual picture of the robot-specific conditions occurring. As such, the prior art teaches a different approach and does not suggest the features of the present invention. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner favorably consider Claim 23 and all claims that depend

15

thereon.

The prior art as a whole fails to direct the person of ordinary skill in the art toward the feature of the invention. Further, the invention includes cooperating features which provide particular advantages which are neither taught nor suggested by the prior art. Accordingly, Applicant requests that the Examiner favorably consider the amended claims in light of the discussion above.

Further and favorable consideration on the merits is requested.

Respectfully submitted
For Applicant,

By: _____
      John James McGlew
      Reg. No. 31,903
      McGLEW & TUTTLE, P.C.

JJM:BMD
71286-15

DATED: September 20, 2006
            BOX 9227 SCARBOROUGH STATION
            SCARBOROUGH, NEW YORK 10510-9227
            (914) 941-5600

SHOULD ANY OTHER FEE BE REQUIRED, THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE IS HEREBY REQUESTED TO CHARGE SUCH FEE TO OUR DEPOSIT ACCOUNT 13-0410.

16